

თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი

TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY · ТБИЛИССКИЙ ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫЙ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ

ი. ვეკუას სახელობის

გამოყენებითი მათემატიკის ინსტიტუტის

შ რ ო მ ე ბ ი

**PROCEEDINGS**

OF I. VEKUA INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS

**Т Р У Д Ы**

ИНСТИТУТА ПРИКЛАДНОЙ МАТЕМАТИКИ  
ИМЕНИ И. ВЕКУА

50 – 51



თბილისი – TBILISI – ТБИЛИСИ

2000– 2001

ON CONSERVATION OF  $L_2$  NORM OF THE SOLUTION BY THE FINITE  
DIFFERENCE SCHEMES FOR ONE FAMILY OF EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

Skhirtladze R.

*Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics  
Iv. Javakishvili Tbilisi State University  
380043 University Street 2, Tbilisi, Georgia*

*Abstract*

One family of evolution equations is considered, which is characterized by the conservation of  $L_2$  norm of its solution. Corresponding finite difference schemes are investigated and it is proved that two-layer finite difference scheme does not conserve  $L_2$  norm of the solution whereas the three-layer scheme conserves one analogues of  $L_2$  norm. Using obtained results one particular evolution equation is studied.

*Key words and phrases:* Korteweg-de Vries equation, Finite difference scheme, Conservation of  $L_2$  norm.

*AMS subject classification:* 35Q53, 65N06.

**Introduction**

The work is devoted to properties of finite difference schemes for one family of evolution equations. This family is characterized by the conservation of  $L_2$  norm of its solution and naturally rises the problem: which finite difference schemes corresponding to these equations inherit this property and which does not.

This problem is studied in [1] on example of Korteweg - de Vries equation, where it was proved that the simplest two-layer scheme does not conserve  $L_2$  norm of the solution whereas the three-layer scheme conserves one analogues of  $L_2$  norm.

We generalized this result on larger class of nonlinear equations for  $n$ - dimensional space case.

The research consists of two parts: in the first part results in general form are presented. In the second part for illustration of the method we consider one particular family of evolution equations [2], [3].

**1. Conservation of  $L_2$  Norm by the Two and Three Layer Finite Difference Schemes**

Let us consider the set of real functions  $H$  on domain  $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \dots \times [0, 1]$

$$H = \{u | u : \Omega \rightarrow R\} \quad (1.1)$$

and define a scalar product and norm on  $H$  as

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv dx,$$

$$\|u\| = \sqrt{(u, u)}.$$

Let us consider the set of elements of  $H$

$$\{u(t) | u(t) \in H, \quad t \in [0, T]\},$$

which satisfies the conditions:

$$\frac{d}{dt}u(t) + Lu(t) = f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u_0 \in H,$$

where

$$L : H \rightarrow H,$$

$$f : [0, T] \rightarrow H.$$

According to the definition [4],  $u(t) \in H$  is a solution of (1.2), if

$$\left\| \frac{u(t + \Delta t) - u(t)}{\Delta t} + Lu(t) - f(t) \right\| \rightarrow 0,$$

when  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $0 < t < T$ .

The corresponding homogeneous equation of (1.2) has the following form

$$\frac{d}{dt}u(t) + Lu(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (1.3)$$

and if operator  $L$  satisfies the condition

$$(Lu, u) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

we will derive, that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\| = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

i. e.  $\|u(t)\|$  value is conserved. Often this value has the meaning of energy and this property of the solution is called a conservation of energy [5], [6].

Below we construct the finite difference schemes for (1.3) and research whether difference analog of (1.5) takes place.

Let

$$\bar{\omega}_\tau = \{t_k = \tau k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N; \quad \tau N = T\},$$

$$\bar{\omega}_{h_i} = \{x_k = kh_i, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N_i; \quad h_i N_i = 1\} \quad i = \overline{1, n},$$

$$\Omega_h = \bar{\omega}_{h_1} \times \bar{\omega}_{h_2} \times \dots \times \bar{\omega}_{h_n},$$

$$H_h = \{z | z : \Omega_h \rightarrow R\},$$

define the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_h$  on  $H_h$ , which is the difference analog of (1.1) and consider  $L_h : H_h \rightarrow H_h$  operator which is the difference approximation of  $L : H \rightarrow H$  and which inherits (1.4) property in sense of  $(\cdot, \cdot)_h$  scalar product

$$(L_h z, z)_h = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $z \in H_h$ .

Let us consider the difference analog of the family of one parameter functions  $u(t)$  in  $H_h$  space

$$\{z_k | z_k \in H_h, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$$

and construct two-layer finite difference scheme for equation (1.3)

$$z_t + L_h z = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$z = z_k, \quad z_t = z_{t,k} = \frac{z_{k+1} - z_k}{\tau}$$

and  $L_h$  is the difference analog of  $L$  which has property (1.6).

If we multiply difference equation (1.7) on  $2\tau z_k$  and take into account that

$$2\tau z_k = \tau(z_{k+1} + z_k) - \tau(z_{k+1} - z_k),$$

we obtain

$$\|z_{k+1}\|_h^2 - \|z_k\|_h^2 - \|z_{k+1} - z_k\|_h^2 = 0,$$

$$\|z_{k+1}\|_h^2 - \|z_k\|_h^2 - \tau^2 \|z_{t,k}\|_h^2 = 0,$$

$$\|z_{k+1}\|_h^2 = \|z_0\|_h^2 + \tau^2 \sum_{i=0}^k \|z_{t,i}\|_h^2,$$

i. e. scheme (1.7) does not conserve  $\|z\|_h$  value.

Now let us consider the three-layer finite difference scheme which corresponds to equation (1.3)

$$z_0 + L_h z = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$z_0 = \frac{z_{k+1} - z_{k-1}}{2\tau}$$

and  $L_h$  operator is the difference analog of operator  $L$ , which satisfies condition (1.6).

If we multiply (1.8) on  $2\tau z_k$ , we will obtain, that

$$\left( \frac{z_{k+1} - z_{k-1}}{2\tau}, 2\tau z_k \right)_h = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

so

$$(z_{k+1}, z_k)_h = (z_{k-1}, z_k)_h,$$

i. e. the energetic value  $(\hat{z}, z)_h$  is conserved, where  $z = z_h$ ,  $\hat{z} = z_{k+1}$ .

## 2. Conservation of $L_2$ Norm for One Family of Evolution Equations

In this section we illustrate obtained results for the following family of evolution equations of type (1.3)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Lu = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$Lu = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u^i \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k^j \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_j^2 \partial x_k},$$

$$\alpha_i, \beta_k, \gamma_k^j \in R, \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$u : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow R,$$

$$\Omega = [0, X_1] \times [0, X_2] \times \dots \times [0, X_n],$$

$R$  denotes the set of real numbers and the solution of (2.1) satisfies the following periodic boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial^{|\nu|} u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n, t)}{\partial x_1^{\nu_1} \partial x_2^{\nu_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\nu_n}} = \frac{\partial^{|\nu|} u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, 1, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n, t)}{\partial x_1^{\nu_1} \partial x_2^{\nu_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\nu_n}}, \quad (2.2)$$

when  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \leq 2$ .

Let  $H_0$  be the subspace of Hilbert space  $H$ , elements of which satisfy boundary conditions (2.2).

It is obvious, that if  $u, v \in H_0$ , then

$$\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, \nu \right) = - \left( u, \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad (2.3)$$

as

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \nu + u \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_i} \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial (u\nu)}{\partial x_i} dx = 0$$

and

$$\left( u^i, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

as

$$\int_{\Omega} u^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u^{i+1}}{i+1} \right) dx = 0.$$

From (2.3) we also obtain, that

$$\left( \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_i^2 \partial x_k}, u \right) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Indeed,

$$\left( \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_i^2 \partial x_k}, u \right) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right), u \right) = - \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx = 0.$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we can conclude, that operator  $L$  satisfies condition (1.4).

Let us define the scalar product on set of  $H_h$  mesh functions by the following formula

$$(u, v) = \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n h_i \right) \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)_{i_j=1, N_j}} \left[ \sum_{p_j=0, \forall p_j=1} \sum_{j=1, N} u_{i_1-p_1, \dots, i_n-p_n} v_{i_1-p_1, \dots, i_n-p_n} \right].$$

Let us note, that

$$z_{x_k x_j}^0 = z_{x_j x_k}^0, \quad (2.6)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} z_{x_k x_j}^0 &= \frac{1}{2h_j} \left[ z_{x_k, \dots, i_j+1, \dots}^0 - z_{x_k, \dots, i_j-1, \dots}^0 \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{4h_j h_k} \left[ z_{\dots, i_j+1, \dots, i_k+1, \dots}^0 - z_{\dots, i_j+1, \dots, i_k-1, \dots}^0 - z_{\dots, i_j-1, \dots, i_k+1, \dots}^0 + z_{\dots, i_j-1, \dots, i_k-1, \dots}^0 \right] = \\ &= z_{x_j x_k}^0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$H_{h,0} = \left\{ z^0 \mid (z^0 \in H_h) \ z_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_k+N_k, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n}^0 = z_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_k, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n}^0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

and note, that if  $z \in H_{h,0}$ , then

$$\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} z_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = \sum_{(i_1+q_1, \dots, i_n+q_n)} z_{i_1+q_1, \dots, i_n+q_n}, \quad q_i \in N_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.7)$$

As  $z_0$  is periodic, the mesh functions  $z_{x_k}^0, z_{x_j x_k}^0, z_{x_j x_j x_k}^0, \dots, z_{x_1 \dots x_n}^0, k = 1, \dots, n$  would be periodic also.

Let us prove, that

$$\left( z_{x_i}^0, y \right)_h = - \left( z, y_{x_i}^0 \right)_h. \quad (2.8)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( z_0_{x_k} : y \right)_h &= \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n h_i \right) \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \sum_{i_j = \overline{1, N_j}} \left[ \sum_{p_i=0 \vee p_i=1} \sum_{i=\overline{1, N}} z_0_{x_k, i_1-p_1, \dots, i_n-p_n} y_{i_1-p_1, \dots, i_n-p_n} \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n h_i \right) \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \sum_{i_j = \overline{1, N_j}} \left[ \sum_{p_i=0 \vee p_i=1} \sum_{i \neq k} \left( \sum_{p_i=0 \vee p_i=1} \sum_{i=k} z_0_{x_k, i_1-p_1, \dots, i_n-p_n} y_{i_1-p_1, \dots, i_n-p_n} \right) \right] = \\ &= - \left( z, y_0_{x_k} \right)_h, \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p_i=0 \vee p_i=1} z_0_{x_k, i_1-p_1, \dots, i_n-p_n} y_{i_1-p_1, \dots, i_n-p_n} &= z_0_{x_k, i_k-1, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k-1, \dots} + z_0_{x_k, \dots, i_k, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k, \dots} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2h_k} \left[ z_{\dots, i_k, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k-1, \dots} - z_{\dots, i_k-2, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k-1, \dots} + z_{\dots, i_k+1, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k, \dots} - z_{\dots, i_k-1, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k, \dots} \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2h_k} \left[ z_{\dots, i_k, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k-1, \dots} - z_{\dots, i_k, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k+1, \dots} + z_{\dots, i_k-1, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k-2, \dots} - z_{\dots, i_k-1, \dots} y_{\dots, i_k, \dots} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In these transformations we used identical equation (2.7).

From (2.8) we obtain that

$$\left( z_0_{x_i} : z \right)_h = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

From (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) we can conclude that

$$\left( z_0_{x_i, x_i, x_j} : z \right)_h = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Indeed,

$$\left( z_0_{x_i, x_i, x_j} : z \right)_h = \left( z_0_{x_i, x_j, x_i} : z \right)_h = - \left( z_0_{x_i, x_j} : z_0_{x_i} \right)_h = 0.$$

Let us consider the difference analog of the operator  $L$

$$L_h z = \left( \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i \overline{z^i} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k z_0_{x_k} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k^j z_0_{x_j, x_j, x_k}, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\overline{z^i} = \frac{z^i + \sum_{k=0}^i (\tilde{z})^k (\hat{z})^{i-k}}{i+2}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$z = z_k, \tilde{z} = z_{k-1}, \hat{z} = z_{k+1}$$

and prove, that operator  $L_h$  on the set of functions  $H_{h,0}$  satisfies conditions (1.6). First let

$$\left( \overline{(z^i) z_0}, z \right) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Indeed,

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^i (\tilde{z})^j (\widehat{z})^{i-j} \right) z_0 = \left( \sum_{j=0}^i (\tilde{z})^j (\widehat{z})^{i-j} \right) \frac{\widehat{z} - \tilde{z}}{2h_k} = \frac{(\widehat{z})^{i+1} - (\tilde{z})^{i+1}}{2h_k} = (z^{i+1})_0, z_0,$$

$$\left( \overline{(z^i) z_0}, z \right) = \frac{1}{i+2} \left( z^i z_0, z \right) + \frac{1}{i+2} \left( \left( \sum_{j=0}^i (\tilde{z})^j (\widehat{z})^{i-j} \right) z_0, z \right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{i+2} \left( z^i z_0, z \right) + \frac{1}{i+2} \left( (z^{i+1})_0, z \right) = \frac{1}{i+2} \left( z^i z_0, z \right) - \frac{1}{i+2} \left( z^{i+1}, z_0 \right) = 0.$$

In the last transformations we have used the definition of scalar product. Due to (2.10) and (2.13),  $L_h$  operator, which is defined by formula (2.11), satisfies (1.6). Thus, we have proved, that the corresponding two-layer finite difference scheme of equation (2.1) does not conserve the property of conservation of the energy of the solution, whereas the three-layer finite difference scheme conserves one analog of the energy of the solution, namely  $(\widehat{z}, z)_h$  value.

## REFERENCES

1. Samarskii A. A., Vabishevich P. N., Matius P. P. Difference Schemes with Multipliers of Operator Type. Institute of Mathematical Modeling of Academy of Sciences of Russia, Institute of Mathematics of National Academy of Belarus, Minsk, 1998 (in Russian).
2. Skhirtladze R. On Polynomial Conservation Laws for Some Evolution Equations. Seminars of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, Reports, V. 22, 1993, p. 87-93.
3. Skhirtladze R. On Searching of Polynomial Conservation Laws for Some Multidimensional Evolution Equations Using Generalized Euler Operator. Reports of the Seminar of Enlarged Sessions of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, V. 13, No 3, 1997.
4. Rikhtmayer R., Morton K. Difference Methods of Solving Boundary Problems. Moscow, 1972 (in Russian).
5. Miura R. M., Gardner C. S., Kruskal M. D. Korteweg-de Vries Equation and Generalizations. II. Existence of Conservation Laws and Constants of Motion, Math. Phys., 9, 1968, 1204-1209.
6. Kruskal M. D., Miura R. M., Gardner C. S. Korteweg-de Vries Equation and Generalizations. V. Uniqueness and Nonexistence of Polynomial Conservation Laws. Math. Phys., 11, 1970, p. 952-960.